CNN Classification and Verification

Hao Chen

Content

- Basics
- Face Classification and Verification
- How to Learn Better Features?
- Augmentation & Regularization



Classification



How to Train Classification?

Cross-Entropy
$$H(p,q) = -\sum_x p(x) \log q(x)$$

How to Train Classification?

Cross-Entropy
$$H(p,q) = -\sum_x p(x) \log q(x)$$

In classification, p(x) is one-hot: [0, 0, 0, 1, 0] q(x) is predicted probability from softmax $\left[\frac{e^{W_0^T \mathbf{f}}}{\sum_{j=1}^C e^{W_j^T \mathbf{f}}}, \frac{e^{W_1^T \mathbf{f}}}{\sum_{j=1}^C e^{W_j^T \mathbf{f}}}, \dots, \frac{e^{W_C^T \mathbf{f}}}{\sum_{j=1}^C e^{W_j^T \mathbf{f}}}\right]$

How to Train Classification?

Cross-Entropy
$$H(p,q) = -\sum_x p(x)\log q(x)$$

In classification, p(x) is one-hot: [0, 0, 0, 1, 0] q(x) is predicted probability from softmax $\left[\frac{e^{W_0^T \mathbf{f}}}{\sum_{j=1}^C e^{W_j^T \mathbf{f}}}, \frac{e^{W_1^T \mathbf{f}}}{\sum_{j=1}^C e^{W_j^T \mathbf{f}}}, \dots, \frac{e^{W_C^T \mathbf{f}}}{\sum_{j=1}^C e^{W_j^T \mathbf{f}}}\right]$

Cross-Entropy Loss:
$$-rac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\lograc{e^{r_{y_i}t_i}}{\sum_{j=1}^{C}e^{W_j^ op f_i}}$$

Face Classification and Verification

Face Classification



Face Verification



This is usually achieved by comparing features of two faces

Distance vs Similarity between Vectors

How to compare two face features?

Distance:
$$\|\mathbf{f}_1 - \mathbf{f}_2\|^2 = \|\mathbf{f}_1\|^2 + \|\mathbf{f}_2\|^2 - 2\mathbf{f}_1\mathbf{f}_2^T$$

Cosine Similarity:
$$cos(\mathbf{f}_1,\mathbf{f}_2) = rac{\mathbf{f}_1\mathbf{f}_2}{\|\mathbf{f}_1\|\|\mathbf{f}_2\|}$$

Why Classification Network is not Good for Verification?

Features learned by the classifier with Cross-Entropy only is **not discriminative** enough



$$egin{aligned} &-\lograc{\exp(z^y)}{\sum_{j=1}^C\exp(z^k)}\ &=\lograc{\sum_{j=1}^C\exp(z^k)}{\exp(z^y)}\ &=\logigg(1+\sum_{j=1,j
eq y}^C\expig(z^j-z^y)igg) \end{aligned}$$

$$egin{aligned} &-\lograc{\exp(z^y)}{\sum_{j=1}^C\exp(z^k)}\ &=\lograc{\sum_{j=1}^C\exp(z^k)}{\exp(z^y)}\ &=\logigg(1+\sum_{j=1,j
eq y}^C\expig(z^j-z^yig)igg)\ &=\logigg(1+\expigg(\logigg(\sum_{j=1,j
eq y}^C\expig(z^j-z^yigg)igg)igg) \end{aligned}$$



$$egin{aligned} &-\lograc{\exp(z^y)}{\sum_{j=1}^C\exp(z^k)}\ &=\lograc{\sum_{j=1}^C\exp(z^k)}{\exp(z^y)}\ &=\logigg(1+\sum_{j=1,j
eq y}^C\exp(z^j-z^y)igg)\ &=\logigg(1+\expigg(\logigg(\sum_{j=1,j
eq y}^C\exp(z^j-z^y)igg)igg)igg)\ &\geq\maxigg(\logigg(\sum_{j=1,j
eq y}^C\exp z^j-z^yigg),0igg) ext{ Softplus } log(1+\exp(x))\geq max(x,0)\ &\geq\log\sum_{j=1,j
eq y}^C\exp(z^j)-z^y \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} &-\log \frac{\exp(z^y)}{\sum_{j=1}^C \exp(z^k)} \\ &= \log \frac{\sum_{j=1}^C \exp(z^k)}{\exp(z^y)} \\ &= \log \left(1 + \sum_{j=1, j \neq y}^C \exp(z^j - z^y)\right) \\ &= \log \left(1 + \exp\left(\log\left(\sum_{j=1, j \neq y}^C \exp(z^j - z^y)\right)\right)\right) \\ &\geq \max\left(\log\left(\sum_{j=1, j \neq y}^C \exp z^j - z^y\right), 0\right) \quad \text{Softplus } log(1 + \exp(x)) \geq max(x, 0) \\ &\geq \log \sum_{j=1, j \neq y}^C \exp(z^j) - z^y \\ &\approx \max_{j \in [C], j \neq y} (z^j) - z^y \quad \text{LogSumExp } \log \sum \exp(x) \approx \max(x) \end{split}$$

 $-\lograc{\exp(z^y)}{\sum_{i=1}^C\exp(z^k)}$ $= \log rac{\sum_{j=1}^C \exp(z^k)}{\exp(z^y)}$ $= \log igg(1 + \sum_{i=1}^C \expig(z^j - z^yig)igg)$ $= \log igg(1 + \exp igg(\log igg(\sum_{i=1}^C \exp ig(z^j - z^y igg) igg) igg) igg) igg)$ $p \geq \maxigg(\logigg(\sum_{j=1}^C \exp z^j - z^y igg), 0 igg) \hspace{0.2cm} ext{Softplus} \hspace{0.2cm} log(1 + \exp(x)) \geq max(x, 0)$ $\geq \log ~~ \sum^{C} ~~ \expig(z^jig) - z^y$ $pprox \max_{j \in [C], j
eq y} (z^j) - z^y \quad \mathsf{LogSumExp} \, \log \sum \exp(x) pprox \max(x)$

Cross-Entropy optimizes

the target logit score to be larger than the maximum of remaining logit scores

Feature Space



Cross-Entropy leads to radius feature representations:

• It strengthens the feature length/magnitude of correctly classified samples

Problem of Radius Feature Space



Left: Distance of features from different classes are smaller than that of same class

Right: Cosine similarity of features from different classes are larger than that of the same class

How to Learn Better Features?

What are Good Features for Verification?

- Features of the same classes have larger similarity than other classes
 - Ideally with some margin

What are Good Features for Verification?

- Features of the same classes have larger similarity than other classes
 - Ideally with some margin

- Optimization objectives:
 - Maximize the intra-class (same class) similarity
 - Minimize the inter-class (different class) similarity
 - Encourage a margin between intra-class and inter-class similarity

What are Good Features for Verification?

- Features of the same classes have larger similarity than other classes
 - Ideally with some margin

- Optimization objectives:
 - Maximize the intra-class (same class) similarity
 - Minimize the inter-class (different class) similarity
 - Encourage a margin between intra-class and inter-class similarity

- Loss objective: z^n-z^p+m
- But...how to define z^n and z^p

Two Paradigms

- Margin-based Softmax Losses
 - Sphereface
 - Cosface
 - \circ Arcface
 - o ...

- Metric/Pair-based Losses
 - Triplet Loss
 - N-Pair Loss
 - o ...

In Cross-Entropy, Weight vector $\,W_{j}$ of Weight matrix W can be viewed as anchor for each class

In Cross-Entropy, Weight vector W_j of Weight matrix W can be viewed as anchor for each class

Intra-class logit score: $z^y = W_y^T \mathbf{f}$ Inter-class logit score: $z^j = W_j^T \mathbf{f}, j
eq y$

In Cross-Entropy, Weight vector W_j of Weight matrix W can be viewed as anchor for each class

Intra-class logit score:
$$z^y = W_y^T \mathbf{f}$$
Inter-class logit score: $z^j = W_j^T \mathbf{f}, j
eq y$

Cross-Entropy with normal Softmax is optimizing $\max_{j \in [C], j \neq y} (z^j) - z^y$ What is missing?

In Cross-Entropy, Weight vector W_j of Weight matrix W can be viewed as anchor for each class

Intra-class logit score:
$$z^y = W_y^T \mathbf{f}$$
Inter-class logit score: $z^j = W_j^T \mathbf{f}, j
eq y$

Cross-Entropy with normal Softmax is optimizing $\max_{j\in [C], j
eq y}(z^j)-z^y$

What is missing? Margin!

How to Introduce Margin in Softmax

• Normalize the feature and weight vectors -> dot product becomes cosine angle

$$rac{e^{W_y^ op f}}{\sum_{j=1}^C e^{W_j^ op f}} = rac{e^{\cos heta_y}}{\sum_{j=1}^C e^{\cos heta_j}}$$

How to Introduce Margin in Softmax

• Normalize the feature and weight vectors -> dot product becomes cosine angle

$$rac{e^{W_y^ op f}}{\sum_{j=1}^C e^{W_j^ op f}} = rac{e^{\cos heta_y}}{\sum_{j=1}^C e^{\cos heta_j}}$$

• Scale the feature to overcome the optimization problem with logit score [-1, 1]

$$rac{e^{s\cos heta_y}}{\sum_{j=1}^C e^{s\cos heta_j}}$$

Effect of Scale s





How to Introduce Margin in Softmax

• Normalize the feature and weight vectors -> dot product becomes cosine angle

$$rac{e^{W_y^ op f}}{\sum_{j=1}^C e^{W_j^ op f}} = rac{e^{\cos heta_y}}{\sum_{j=1}^C e^{\cos heta_j}}$$

• Scale the feature to overcome the optimization problem with logit score [-1, 1]

$$rac{e^{s\cos heta_y}}{\sum_{j=1}^C e^{s\cos heta_j}}$$

• Introduce margin...at where?

CosFace Softmax Loss

Additive Margin

$$-lograc{e^{s(\cos heta_y-m)}}{e^{s(\cos heta_y-m)}+\sum_{j
eq y}^C e^{s\cos heta_j}}$$

SphereFace Softmax Loss

Angular Margin

$$-log rac{e^{s\cos m heta_y}}{e^{s\cos m heta_y}+\sum_{j
eq y}^C e^{s\cos heta_j}}$$

ArcFace Softmax Loss

Additive angular Margin

$$-\lograc{e^{s\cos(heta_y-m)}}{e^{s\cos(heta_y-m)}+\sum_{j
eq y}^C e^{s\cos heta_j}}$$

Margin Visualization



Different types of margin can be combined -> CombinedMarginFace

CombinedMargin Face

Metric/Pair-based Loss

Based on pairs...with Data Sampler

Intra-class logit score:
$$\, oldsymbol{z}^p = \mathbf{f}_p^T \mathbf{f}$$

Inter-class logit score: $\, oldsymbol{z}^n = \mathbf{f}_n^T \mathbf{f}$

Triplet Loss

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Triplet}} = \max \left(\mathbf{f}_n^T \mathbf{f} - \mathbf{f}_p^T \mathbf{f} + m, 0 \right)$$

→ maximize →: minimize

How to Construct Positive and Negative Pairs?

- Simple way: construct from data batch
 - Might not have samples from the same classes

• Use Data Sampler to construct data batch with both samples of positive classes and negative classes

• Hard mining: find the most difficult pairs

N-Pair Loss/Contrastive Loss

Why Triplet Loss is not good enough?

Only one positive pair and one negative pair



$$\log \Biggl(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \exp ig(\mathbf{f}_{n_i}^T \mathbf{f} - \mathbf{f}_p^T \mathbf{f} ig) \Biggr)$$

$$egin{aligned} &\logigg(1+\sum_{i=1}^{N-1}\expigg(\mathbf{f}_{n_i}^T\mathbf{f}-\mathbf{f}_p^T\mathbf{f}igg)igg) \ &=\logigg(1+\expigg(\mathbf{s}_{n_i}^{N-1}\mathbf{f}-\mathbf{f}_p^T\mathbf{f}igg)igg) \end{aligned}$$

$$egin{aligned} &\logigg(1+\sum_{i=1}^{N-1}\expig(\mathbf{f}_{n_i}^T\mathbf{f}-\mathbf{f}_p^T\mathbf{f}ig)igg) \ &=\logigg(1+\expigg)\sum_{i=1}^{N-1}\expigg(\mathbf{f}_{n_i}^T\mathbf{f}-\mathbf{f}_p^T\mathbf{f}igg) \ &\geq \maxigg(\log\sum_{i=1}^{N-1}\expigg(\mathbf{f}_{n_i}^T\mathbf{f}-\mathbf{f}_p^T\mathbf{f}igg),0igg) \end{aligned}$$

$$egin{aligned} &\logigg(1+\sum_{i=1}^{N-1}\expigg(\mathbf{f}_{n_i}^T\mathbf{f}-\mathbf{f}_p^T\mathbf{f}igg)igg) \ &=\logigg(1+\expigg\log\sum_{i=1}^{N-1}\expigg(\mathbf{f}_{n_i}^T\mathbf{f}-\mathbf{f}_p^T\mathbf{f}igg) \ &\geq\maxigg(\log\sum_{i=1}^{N-1}\expigg(\mathbf{f}_{n_i}^T\mathbf{f}-\mathbf{f}_p^T\mathbf{f}igg),0igg) \ &\geq\log\sum_{i=1}^{N-1}\expigg(\mathbf{f}_{n_i}^T\mathbf{f}igg)-\mathbf{f}_p^T\mathbf{f}igg) \end{aligned}$$

$$egin{aligned} &\logigg(1+\sum_{i=1}^{N-1}\expigl(\mathbf{f}_{n_i}^T\mathbf{f}-\mathbf{f}_p^T\mathbf{f}igr)igr) \ &=\logigg(1+\expigl(\sum_{i=1}^{N-1}\expigl(\mathbf{f}_{n_i}^T\mathbf{f}-\mathbf{f}_p^T\mathbf{f}igr)igr) \ &\geq\maxigl(\log\sum_{i=1}^{N-1}\expigl(\mathbf{f}_{n_i}^T\mathbf{f}-\mathbf{f}_p^T\mathbf{f}igr),0igr) \ &\geq\log\sum_{i=1}^{N-1}\expigl(\mathbf{f}_{n_i}^T\mathbf{f}igr)-\mathbf{f}_p^T\mathbf{f} \ &\geq\maxigl(\mathbf{f}_{n_i}^T\mathbf{f}igr)-\mathbf{f}_p^T\mathbf{f} \ &\geq\maxigl(\mathbf{f}_{n_i}^T\mathbf{f}igr)-\mathbf{f}_p^T\mathbf{f} \ \end{aligned}$$

- Optimization goal the same as Cross-Entropy
- But negative pairs are different
- Margin can also be added

A Unified View of Both Paradigm

Can we introduce multiple positive pairs and multiple negative pairs?



Unified Formulation

• This is a unified formulation

$$\log igg(1+\sum_{i}^{P}\sum_{j}^{N}e^{\gammaig(z_{n_{j}}-z_{p_{i}}+mig)}igg)$$

- You can derive softmax, arcface, triplet, n-pair losses from this formulation
 - By setting the number of positives/negatives and how to construct them

- **Circle loss** can also be derived from this function
- Supervised Contrastive loss is another loss function with multiple p/ns

Augmentation & Regularization

Label Smoothing

One-hot target: [0, 0, 0, 1, 0]

Smoothed (ls=0.1) target: [0.025, 0.025, 0.025, 0.9, 0.025]



Label smoothing learns more separable features with smaller feature norm Similar to the effect of s in Softmax Mixup/Cutout/Cutmix

